IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDIATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.229 OF 2006

Shankar D.Bawdhane .. Petitioner.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra .. Respondents.

Mr.Sukanta Karmarkar for the petitioner.
Mrs.M.H.Mhatre A.P.P. for the respondent.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED : 7th JUNE, 2006

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has challenged the order dated 6.5.2006 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge rejecting Miscellaneous Application No.330 of 2006 moved by the accused. By that application the accused had prayed for reference of his case to the Juvenile Court contending that he was juvenile within the meaning of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 on the date of offence. The learned Judge on appreciation of the material on record has recorded a categoric finding that on the date of offence the applicant was not juvenile. Keeping that in view and considering that this application was filed at the final stage of trial and the matter is closed for judgment by the trial ourt I

am not inclined to interfere with the impugned order in this revision application. At this stage Mr.Karmarkar, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for liberty to the petitioner to agitate the issue of juvenile in the appeal, if he is convicted by the trial Court. I observe that if such plea is raised in appeal, the same will be considered in accordance with law. With these observations this revision application is dismissed.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)